

Correlation Functions in the Itzykson-Zuber Model

Samson L. Shatashvili^{†*}

School of Natural Science
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540

The n -point function for the integral over unitary matrices with Itzykson-Zuber measure is reduced to the integral over Gelfand-Tsetlin table; integrand (for generic n) is given by linear exponential times rational function. For $n=2$ and in some cases for $n > 2$ later in fact is the polynomial and this allows to give an explicit and simple expression for all 2-point and a set of n -point functions. For the most general n -point function a simple linear differential equation is constructed.

September, 92

[†] Research is supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-90ER40542.

^{*} On leave of absence from SPOMI, Fontanka 27, St.Petersburg 191011, Russia.

1. Introduction

In this letter I'll consider the following correlation function :

$$\langle g_{i_1 j_1} g_{k_1 l_1}^+ \dots g_{i_n j_n} g_{k_n l_n}^+ \rangle = \int [d\mu(g)] \exp[\text{Tr}(g M g^+ N)] g_{i_1 j_1} g_{i_1 j_1}^+ \dots g_{i_n j_n} g_{k_n l_n}^+. \quad (1.1)$$

Here g is the N dimensional unitary matrix and M and N are Hermitian. Measure of integration is Haar measure. Without lack of generality we could assume that M and N are diagonal.

For the case of $n=0$ (partition function) this integral was calculated by Harish-Chandra [1] and Itzykson and Zuber [2] long time ago. Here we will use the method previously used in similar problem in [3] ; this simple algebraic method is known in literature on representation theory since 1950 [4]. Let me mention that the main motivation to look on the integral (1.1) is related to investigation of Kazakov-Migdal model [5]; also , this kind of integrals might be interesting for string theory related matrix models [6].

I'll show that the integral (1.1) can be written in the form:

$$\langle g_{i_1 j_1} g_{k_1 l_1}^+ \dots g_{i_n j_n} g_{k_n l_n}^+ \rangle = \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M)} \int \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N-k} dm_k^i R[m] \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-k+1} m_i^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} m_i^k\right) N_k\right]. \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Delta(M)$ is the Vandermond determinant constructed from eigenvalues of matrix M , m_k^i are Gelfand-Tsetlin coordinates defining the convex body (see fig.1)

$$m_k^i > m_k^{i+1} > m_{k+1}^i, \quad (1.3)$$

with m_k^0 being the eigenvalues of matrix M (we assume that they are ordered: $m_1^0 > m_2^0 > \dots > m_N^0$), N_k are eigenvalues of matrix N , C is the number (see (2.12)) and $R[m]$ is given (in general case) by rational function on GT table. This function will be described explicitly in section 3 together with linear differential equation for (1.2) (I'll give the corresponding algorithm).

$R[m]$ reduces to simple polynomial in the case of $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n = 1$ and this allows to give the explicit formula (we denote by $\Delta(N_2, \dots, N-N)$ the Vandermond determinant for eigenvalues $N_2, N_3, \dots, N_N$):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle g_{1j_1} g_{k_1 1}^+ g_{1j_2} g_{k_2 1}^+ \dots g_{1j_n} g_{k_n 1}^+ \rangle = \delta_{j_1 k_1} \delta_{j_2 k_2} \dots \delta_{j_n k_n} \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M) \Delta(N_2, \dots, N_N)} \\
& \int \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} dm_k^1 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_1}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_1} (m_l^0 - m_{j_1}^0)} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_2}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_2} (m_l^0 - m_{j_2}^0)} \dots \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_n}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_n} (m_l^0 - m_{j_n}^0)} \quad . \quad (1.4) \\
& \exp\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} m_k^1\right) N_1\right] \det[\exp(m_i^1 N_j)]
\end{aligned}$$

Here $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1; j = 2, 3, \dots, N$. All nonzero 2-point functions $\langle g_{ik} g_{ki}^+ \rangle$ and a set of multi-point functions $\langle g_{ij_1} g_{k_n i}^+ \dots g_{ij_n} g_{k_n i}^+ \rangle$ are obtained from (1.4) by permutation of eigenvalues m_i^0 after integration over m^1 in (1.4).¹

2. Gelfand-Tsetlin parametrization

Let us denote by X the combination that enters in IZ measure:

$$X = g M g^+.$$

X is the element of coadjoint orbit of unitary group; this orbit is labeled by eigenvalues of $M : m_1^0 > \dots > m_N^0$. We will now introduce the coordinates on group and on G/H , with H being the Cartan subgroup defined by M , so called Gelfand-Tsetlin coordinates (for the reason why we call this coordinates GTC see [3]).

Let a_i be the basis in N dimensional complex plane, C^N , and e_i be fixed basis, in which matrix M is diagonal with ordered eigenvalues m_i^0 . Then we can write

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i^0 e_i e_i^+, X = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i^0 a_i a_i^+, g_{ji} = (e_i, a_j). \quad (2.1)$$

$a_i = g e_i$, $(\ , \)$ is the scalar product: $(\alpha x, y) = \alpha^*(x, y); (x, \alpha y) = \alpha(x, y)$. Haar measure could be parametrized by the vectors a_i , $d\mu(g) = d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_N)$. Then

$$d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_N) = \frac{(N-1)!}{(2\pi)^N} dt_1 \dots dt_{N-1} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N d\mu(a_2, \dots, a_N),$$

¹ Integral in (1.4) is easy to calculate, but I don't think that integrated version looks simpler than this.

$$t_k = |g_{1k}|^2, k = 1, \dots, N - 1; \quad (2.2)$$

$$\theta_k = \arg g_{1k}, k = 1, \dots, N.$$

One can move to the new variables m_i^1 from t_i in following way: suppose m^1 is the eigenvalue of matrix PMP , where P is the projector on the subspace, orthogonal to vector a_1 . This means that if f is corresponding eigenvector, $f = \sum_{k=1}^N b_k e_k$, one has

$$PMf = mf, Mf = m^1 f + ba_1, f = \sum_{k=1}^N b_k e_k.$$

Taking the scalar product of Mf with f_k and e_k we get

$$b_k = \frac{bg_{1k}}{m_k^0 - m^1}, b^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{|g_{1k}|^2}{(m_k - m)^2}.$$

From the orthogonality condition, $(f, a_1) = 0$, one obtains equation, which relates g_{1k} and eigenvalues m_k^1 by

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{t_k}{m_k^0 - m^1} = 0, t_k = |g_{1k}|^2, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N t_k = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

This equation describes one-to-one correspondence of coordinate system t_k and m_k^1 ; from it immediately follows that eigenvalues obey inequalities

$$m_i^0 > m_i^1 > m_{i+1}^0, \quad (2.4)$$

and this intervals are filled densely. Moreover after some simple algebra one finds relation

$$t_k = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (m_i^1 - m_k^0)}{\prod_{i \neq k} (m_i^0 - m_k^0)}. \quad (2.5)$$

For to move to coordinates m^1 we also need the Jacobian for integration measure. From above expression it could be easily obtained and finally we have

$$J(t, m^1) = \frac{\prod_{k < i} (m_i^1 - m_k^1)}{\prod_{l < p} (m_l^0 - m_p^0)}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_N) = \frac{(N-1)!}{(2\pi)^N} J(t, m^1) dm_1^1 \dots dm_{N-1}^1 d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N d\mu(a_2, \dots, a_N).$$

In addition one can show also that following formula holds:

$$X_{11} = \sum_{i=1}^N g_{1k} m_k g_{k1}^+ = \sum_{i=1}^N t_k m_k^0 = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} m_i^1. \quad (2.7)$$

This completes the first step in descent procedure, which embeds the $N-1$ dimensional unitary group in N dimensional one. After this step we have $N-1$ dimensional space spanned by orthonormal vectors $a_2, \dots, a_N$, diagonal matrix $M^1 = PMP$ with eigenvalues $m_1^1, \dots, m_{N-1}^1$ and eigenvectors f . It is simple to continue the descent further; let me give the necessary expressions after the descent procedure is completed down to the level 0² ($f_{j_0}^0 = e_k, \alpha_{j_0}^0 = 1$):

$$g_{lk} = (e_k, a_l) = \sum_1^{N-l} \sum_1^{N-2} \dots \sum_1^{N-l+1} (e_k, f_{j_1}^1) (f_{j_1}^1, f_{j_2}^2) \dots$$

$$\dots (f_{j_{l-1}}^{l-1}, a_l) = \sum_{[j_q]}^{l-1} \prod_{q=0}^{l-1} \frac{\alpha_{j_q}^q (f_{j_q}^q, a_{q+1})}{m_{j_q}^q - m_{j_{q+1}}^{q+1}}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$(a_q, f_k^{q-1}) = \sqrt{t_{kq}} \exp(i\theta_{kq}), \quad (2.9)$$

$$(f_i^q, f_k^{q-1}) = \alpha_i^q \frac{(a_q, f_k^{q-1})}{m_k^{q-1} - m_i^q},$$

where by α and t we have denoted following products

$$(\alpha_i^q)^2 = - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N-q+1} (m_i^q - m_j^{q-1})}{\prod_{j \neq i}^{N-q} (m_i^q - m_j^q)}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$t_i^q = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N-q} (m_j^q - m_i^{q-1})}{\prod_{j \neq k}^{N-q+1} (m_j^{q-1} - m_i^{q-1})}.$$

² one can derive all this expressions using the procedure described above, or (after some modifications) they could be extracted from [4], see also [3]

The variables m_i^k are (as it follows from descent procedure, see (2.4)) from Gelfand-Tsetlin table

$$m_i^k > m_i^{k+1} > m_{i+1}^k \quad (2.11)$$

and θ are just angle variables, $\theta_i^k = [0, 2\pi]$. Using all this one could check by straightforward calculation that

$$X_{kk} = \sum_{l=1}^N g_{kl} m_l^0 g_{lk}^+ = \sum_{l=1}^N m_l^0 |g_{kl}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-k+1} m_i^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} m_i^k, \quad X_{NN} = m^N, \quad (2.12)$$

$$d\mu(g) = \frac{C_N}{(2\pi)^{\frac{(N+2)(N-1)}{2}}} \frac{1}{\Delta(M)} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N-k} dm_k^i \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^{N-k+1} d\theta_k^i,$$

$$C_N = (N-1)!(N-2)! \dots 2!1!.$$

The meaning of symbols f_k^i is simple; f.e. f_k^l forms the orthonormal basis in the space spanned by eigenvectors of matrix $M^l = \text{diag}(m_k^l)$

3. Correlation Functions

Having at the hand the expressions (2.8),(2.9),(2.10),(2.12) it is easy to prove the statements about (1.1), announced in introduction, i.e. derive explicit formulas for all 2-point functions and for a set with $n > 2$ (1.4) and present the integral representation of general n-point function in terms of GT table (1.2). Also one could give the linear differential equation for general n-point function. But first I will start with 0-point function and will give the simple derivation of IZ formula: ³

$$\langle 1 \rangle = \int [d\mu(g)] \exp[\text{tr}(gMg^+N)]; \quad (3.1)$$

integration is over Gelfand-Tsetlin table (1.3). The result follows immediately from the facts we have learned in previous section (we need just (2.12)) and from two simple observations: i. Integrand doesn't depend on angle variables θ , so it is the linear exponential on GT table:

³ this is one more derivation of well-known IZ formula [2], but I decide to include it here for completeness of presentation; also this kind of derivation might be itself useful, i.e. for large N limit.

$$< 1 > = \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M)} \int \prod_{GT} [dm] \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-k+1} m_i^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} m_i^k \right) N_k \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

ii. the integration over the bottom coordinate m^N of

$$\exp([(m_1^{N-1} + m_2^{N-1}) - m^N] N_{N-1}) \exp(m_N N_N)$$

leads to

$$\frac{\det[\exp(m_i^{N-1} N_{N-j+1})]}{N_N - N_{N-1}},$$

and variables m are from the second (counting from bottom) line; $i, j = 1, 2$. Now, according (3.2), we have to multiply this expression on exponential

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 m_i^{N-2} - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i^{N-1}\right) N_{N-2}$$

and integrate over m_i^{N-1} ; once again we get the similar result:

$$\frac{\det[\exp(m_i^{N-2} N_{N-j+1})]}{\Delta(N_N, N_{N-1}, N_{N-2})},$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, using the induction method one easily proves that following formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} [dm_i^{N-l+1}] \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^l m_i^{N-l} - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} m_i^{N-l+1} \right] N_{N-l+1} \frac{\det[\exp(m_i^{N-l+1} N_{N-j+1})]}{\Delta(N_N, \dots, N_{N-l+2})} = \\ = \frac{\det[\exp(m_p^{N-l} N_{N-q+1})]}{\Delta(N_N, \dots, N_{N-l+1})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

here $p, q = 1, \dots, l$; $i, j = 1, \dots, (l-1)$.

This completes the derivation of IZ formula in our approach, based on GT parametrization:

$$< 1 > = \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M)\Delta(N)} \det[\exp(M_i N_j)]. \quad (3.4)$$

where we have denoted m_i^0 by M_i .

Let us now move to discussion of correlation functions. First about general properties:

All nonzero correlation functions in (1.1) have $j_m = k_m$ and $(l_1, \dots, l_n) = P(i_1, \dots, i_n)$, where P is the element of permutation group; of course the "reversed" statement, when $i_m = l_m$ and $(k_1, \dots, k_n) = P'(j_1, \dots, j_n)$, is equivalent to above.

To demonstrate this simple fact let us multiply right hand side in (1.1) by

$$1 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2N}} \int [dH_1] \int [dH_2],$$

where H_1, H_2 are from $U(1)^N$. Because the IZ measure is invariant under the transformation $g' = H_1 g H_2$ we can perform this transformation in the integrand; thus each operator inside the correlation function will be multiplied by H_1 from left and H_2 from right (hermitian conjugates will be changed correspondingly). Because the integration over H_1, H_2 is over $U(1)$ angles we see that the integral will be always zero, except the cases that stated above.

The expressions derived at the end of section 2 and the statement (3.3) immediately leads to prove of (1.4). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_{1j_1} g_{k_1 1}^+ g_{1j_2} g_{k_2 1}^+ \dots g_{1j_n} g_{k_n 1}^+ \rangle &= \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M)} \int \prod_{GT} dm \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_1}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_1} (m_l^0 - m_{j_1}^0)} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_2}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_2} (m_l^0 - m_{j_2}^0)} \dots \\ &\cdot \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_n}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_n} (m_l^0 - m_{j_n}^0)} \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-k+1} m_i^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} m_i^k\right) N_k\right] = \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M) \Delta(N_2, \dots, N_N)} \\ &\cdot \int \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} dm_k^1 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_1}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_1} (m_l^0 - m_{j_1}^0)} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_2}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_2} (m_l^0 - m_{j_2}^0)} \dots \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} (m_l^1 - m_{j_n}^0)}{\prod_{l \neq j_n} (m_l^0 - m_{j_n}^0)} \\ &\exp\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^N m_k^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} m_k^1\right) N_1\right] \det[\exp(m_i^1 N_j)] \delta_{j_1 k_1} \dots \delta_{j_n k_n} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Here $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$; $j = 2, \dots, N$. As I have mentioned in introduction the last integral is easy to calculate; it is clear from integral representation that the result is the sum of quadratic exponentials of eigenvalues M_i, N_i times rational functions and it is regular when two eigenvalues of M coincide.⁴ So we could permute the eigenvalues of M to obtain the all other correlation functions $\langle |g_{ik}|^2 \rangle$, as well as all n -point functions with $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n$

⁴ we have used the coordinates tied with matrix M but we could use in the same integral the GT coordinates related to matrix N ; result shouldn't depend on this choice; note that GT coordinates for M eigenvalues of N aren't ordered.

(and others that are related to later by interchanging matrix M with matrix N) after last integration in (3.5).

Now we will discuss the most general n -point function, $n > 2$, the one that couldn't be reduced to (3.5), and will prove (1.2). Using general property discussed above we will order the correlation function (1.1) by the set of indices $(i_1, \dots, i_n), i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_n$. According (2.8) we will draw the two copies of Yung type diagram : one for set $(i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_n)$ another for $(i_1, \dots, i_n; k_1, \dots, k_n)$ (see fig.2). Each line in the diagram has length i_q , and thus we write numbers $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ in the boxes at the right end of each line in each diagram. In the first diagram we put numbers $(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ in most left boxes (again from up to down, but now the numbers aren't necessarily ordered); equivalently in the second diagram we put at the same place numbers $(k_1, \dots, k_n) = P(j_1, \dots, j_n)$. So each line in first diagram has i_q in the right and j_q in the left, at the same time the same line on second diagram has i_q at the right and $P(j_q)$ at the left. Now we will fill all other boxes in the first diagram according following procedure : pick up all lines with length i_n (some of the numbers from set i might be equal, so we will have several lines with the same length) and put next from i_n the numbers $(\rho_{i_n-1}^1, \dots, \rho_{i_n-1}^{A_{i_n}})$ from up to down with requirement that

$$1 < \rho_{i_n-1} < N - i_n + 1.$$

A_{i_n} is the number of lines of length i_n . We continue this procedure before reaching the point with $i_n - p = i_{n-1}$, where p counts the boxes from right to left on the top line of diagram. After this the height of each column is larger, so next column we will fill with numbers $(\rho_{i_n-1-1}^1, \dots, \rho_{i_n-1-1}^{A_{i_n-1}})$, with A_{i_n-1} being the number of lines of length i_n plus the number of lines of length i_{n-1} . This procedure we will continue to the end, when all boxes in Yung diagram are filled. The numbers ρ should satisfy inequality

$$1 < \rho_{q-1}^A < N - q + 1.$$

Let us call this diagram $Y^{ij}(\rho)$. The same procedure we will repeat with the second diagram, assigning the numbers ρ' to empty boxes and defining $Y^{i,P(j)}(\rho')$.

This diagrams are just convenient representation of products

$$g_{i_1 j_1} \dots g_{i_n j_n}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$g_{P(j_1) i_1}^+ \dots g_{P(j_n) i_n}^+, \tag{3.7}$$

when they are viewed in terms of sum in (2.8). For to proceed further we have to assign to each box in the diagram the factor

$$\frac{\alpha_{\rho_q}^q (f_{\rho_q}^q, a_{q+1})}{m_{j_q}^q - m_{j_{q+1}}^{q+1}} \quad (3.8)$$

and then multiply over q in each line; the same we should do with the second diagram, but assigning to the boxes the complex conjugate of (3.8). So, for each diagram we have assigned the particular product dictated by above procedure and the diagram. Let us call these factors $F^{ij}(Y)$ and $F^{iP(j)}(Y')$. Finally, for to obtain the factor R coming from insertion of (3.6) and (3.7) in IZ integral we have to sum over all possible diagrams the objects $F(Y)$ and $F(Y')$ ((with fixed $(i_1, \dots, i_N); (j_1, \dots, j_N); P$) and then multiply:

$$R = \sum_{YY'} F(Y)F(Y'). \quad (3.9)$$

The described procedure looks very complicated but result of integration over angle variables makes it simpler. Let us take the product of F_1 and F_2 with some particular set of ρ and ρ' and integrate over angle variables with measure (2.5). Because we already know that the dependance on angles is coming only from pre-exponent in(1.1)(see (2.5) and (3.2)), this is the only angle integral we have to calculate. From other side the angle dependance in (3.8) is due to (2.10), thus we have simple angle integral to calculate: fix the column (let say l-th) in both diagrams and denote by Θ sum over this column of the angles $\theta_{\rho_l, l}$; denote by Θ' same sum for second diagram. The angle integral that enters in (1.1) for this column is just

$$\int \prod_{row[l]} (d\theta) \exp(\Theta - \Theta'),$$

which is zero if the set ρ' on the second diagram in given column (l-th in this case) is not related to set of ρ in this first one by permutation

$$(\rho_l'^1, \dots, \rho_l'^{A_l}) = P(\rho_l^1, \dots, \rho_l^{A_l}).$$

Later relates the numbers in second diagram to numbers in first by simple law: we should consider only those pair of diagrams, when numbers in fixed column of second are related to numbers in the same column of first diagram by some element of permutation

group, so for each diagram Y we have set of mappings τ defined by above law: $Y' = \tau(Y)$. Thus we have for R after integration over all angle variables:

$$R_0 = R_0[m] = \sum_{Y, \tau} F_0^{(i,j)}[Y] F_0^{(i,P[j])}[\tau(Y)]. \quad (3.10)$$

Here subscript 0 means that we have to put to zero all angles in (3.8); after this R becomes the function on GT table, $R_0[m]$. Now it is easy to see that $R_0[m]$ is the rational function. Simply after applying the mapping τ to Y there are only moduli squares of (2.9) and (2.10) left in (3.10); this means that $R_0[m]$ is rational. On this we complete the construction of R . Going back to (1.1) in parametrization (2.12) we have (for nonzero correlators):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_{i_1 j_1} g_{i_1 P(j_1)}^+ \cdots g_{i_n j_n} g_{i_n P(j_n)}^+ \rangle &= \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M)} \int \prod_{GT} dm R_0[m] \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^N X_{kk} N_k\right] = \\ &= \frac{C_N}{\Delta(M)} \int \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N-k} dm_k^i R_0[m] \exp\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-k+1} m_i^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} m_i^k\right) N_k\right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and here indices for $R[m]$ are arranged according for those in right hand side. Thus we have derived the formula announced in the introduction.

The integrand in (3.11) still looks complicated, (even having the explicit algorithm of its construction), except the one when $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n$; but it seems that it could be simplified using algebraic identities for particular rational functions that enter in R_0 ⁵. It would be also interesting to have some geometric interpretation of above construction. At the moment best we could extract from (3.11) in general case is following: first we could extract from $R_0[m]$ two polynomials P_1 and P_2 by defining

$$R_0[m] = \frac{P_1[m]}{P_2[m]} \quad (3.12)$$

(3.12) uniquely defines P_1, P_2 ; there is a simple formula for P_2 in the case of general n -point function P_2

$$P_2 = \prod_{[q]} \prod_{i \neq j} (m_i^q - m_j^{q-1})^{a_q} \prod_{k \neq l} (m_k^q - m_l^q)^{b_q} \prod_{p \neq t} (m_p^{q-1} - m_t^{q-1})^{c_q} \quad (3.13)$$

⁵ this should be viewed as conjecture based on knowledge n -point function (3.5); i.e in our basis the expression for $\langle |g_{ik}|^2 \rangle$ has similar structure as general correlation function in (3.11) and at the same time answer obtained from the one with $i = 1$ by permutation of eigenvalues of M is simple.

where a set $[q]$ and numbers a_q, b_q, c_q are fixed by the particular correlator under consideration. Then consider more general then (3.11)integral: introduce for each point on GT table corresponding "dual" coordinate z_i^k and define I by

$$I(z) = \int \prod_{GT} dm R[m] \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} z_i^k m_i^k\right] \quad (3.14)$$

From $I(z)$ integral (3.11) is obtained by requirement that all coordinate on the "z" table in given row k are equal to $N_k - N_{k-1}$; From other side I obeys the linear differential equation

$$P_2(\partial_z)I = P_1(\partial_z)I_0, I_0 = I(R = 1), \quad (3.15)$$

so that right hand side in (3.15)is known function. Thus, some particular solution of (3.15)for the values of z , that I have defined previously, should coincide with (3.11) and therefore with (1.1).

4. Conclusion.

One should note that the expressions (1.4),(1.2) are very simple. This simplicity of course should be related to the fact that the integral (1.1) actually is not over unitary group G , but rather over it's left/right coset. We have used only part of symmetries when we tied the coordinate system to matrix M (and not to matrix N). Using the fact that result shouldn't depend on this choice additional identities could be obtained. It might be possible that later allows to get all nontrivial n-point functions for $n > 2$ from those that we have calculated (see (1.4)).

The simplicity of (1.4), (1.2) might be helpful for the applications, mainly for large N limit; later is important both in string theory and Kazakov-Migdal model. In this respect I would like to note the elegance of (3.3); it might lead to interesting equation in large N limit. This results might be also helpful in the statistical models ,discussed in[7]. A.Migdal have derived explicit formula for general 2-point function in the leading order of large N approximation in his approach based on Riemann-Hilbert method [8].I know from A.Morozov [9] that he have conjectured simple formula for 2-point function that looks similar to the one obtained from the (1.4) after integration over m^1 in the case of 2-point function. It would be interesting to find the geometric approach that could explain in more invariant way the results obtained in IZ model both for finite and large N .

Acknowledgments: I am grateful to A.Alekseev, E.Brezin, M.Douglas, V.Kazakov, I.Kogan, I.Kostov, A.Migdal, A.Morozov, A.Niemi, G.Semenoff, M.Staudacher and N.Weiss for stimulating discussions. This research is supported by DOE grant DE-FG-90ER405542.

References

- [1] Harish-Chandra, Amer.J.Math., 79(1957),87.
- [2] K.Itzykson and J.B.Zuber, J.Math.Phys.,21, (1980), 411.
- [3] A.Alekseev,L.Faddeev and S.Shatashvili,Journal of Geometry and Physics, (1989)v.3.
- [4] I.M.Gelfand and M.A.Naimark, Trudi MIAN, v36,(1950).
- [5] V.Kazakov and A.Migdal, Induced QCD at Large N , Paris-Princeton preprint LPTENS-92/15/PUPT-1322, May, 1992.
- [6] M.Douglas, unpublished.
- [7] I.Kogan,A.Morozov,G.W.Semenoff and N.Weiss, Area law and continuum limit in"induced QCD", UBC preprint UBCTP-92-022, July, 1992.
- [8] A.Migdal, Mixed model of induced QCD,Paris preprint LPTENS-92/23, August, 1992.
- [9] A.Morozov, ITEP preprint, to appear.